

# NUREMBERG II WILL HOLD GOVERNMENT & BIG BIZ VAXX MANDATORS ACCOUNTABLE

By **Howell Woltz** - August 17, 2021



## TYRANTS OF GOVERNMENT & BUSINESS WHO MANDATE VAXX WILL SOON PAY THE PRICE

<https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/asset/3a2ccea9-82a1-4938-8932-0df81ede0c60.mp4>

You have a choice—and in the end—your resistance will be rewarded, not only with financial remuneration, but perhaps by living out your natural life rather than the two-three years planned by the Globalist vaxxers.

First, we'll start with the Nuremberg findings that preclude what most Western nations and Big Businesses supporting their misconduct are presently forcing on human beings without voluntary consent:

From the transcript of the first Nuremberg trials of similar Nazis between 1945 to 1946, the court (and world) agreed:

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. [A mandated or forced vaxx is not 'voluntary']
2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature. [Therapeutics proven to stop or ameliorate Covid existed, but were sequestered]
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment. [The ferrets and rabbits all died in animal testing – a FACT – and the disease did not come from nature, as the patents now prove]
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury. [Adverse reactions, suicides from lockdowns, nursing home deaths and from the 'experimental treatment' itself, now exceed the death toll from the disease]
5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an *a priori* reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects. [Not even Pfizer's CEO will take it – FACT – which should tell you all you need to know]
6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment. [Once again the 'treatment' now

exceeds death and harm from the disease it was alleged to treat]

7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.

8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment. [Photos of police forcibly jabbing citizens and taking their children Down Under last week have been 'disappeared' from the Big Tech monopolies]

9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible. [That's about 90% of humanity at this moment]



***Australian ACTU Sec. Mcmanus—behind much of this —celebrates forced vaxx. Has anyone checked her bank accounts, Scottie?***

10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject. [The facts now prove the jab more harmful than the actual disease]

## **AND HOW DO THEY KNOW THE DIFFERENCE OF THESE 'VARIANTS' IF THE TESTS ARE NO GOOD?**

Great question, and the inventor actually filmed the answer before his untimely death in 2019 [Nobel prize winner, Kary Mullis warned us](#).

If the 'test' used to create the pandemic has been recalled by the United States CDC for giving fake results – as warned by the inventor would be the case – how are our feckless overlords now able to detect 'variants'.

The answer is, they can't, but they're using this misinformation and fake numbers to lock us down and take our freedoms anyway.

Is it starting to come clear what these people are doing to us, folks?

And the mRNA shot they are now forcing on humans is having worse side effects and deaths than all (real) vaccines in history combined (total of [411,931 total adverse events](#) were reported as of June 25th per [Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System - VAERS](#)), yet the criminals are forcing it on humans by threat of exclusion, job loss, limited travel and access – or even incarceration.

I want everyone to watch Nobel Prize and Presidential Medal nominee, Dr. Vladimir "Zev" Zelenko explain this fact, but more

importantly, watch his interview (at the end of the article) as he tells how those who are vaxxed can save their lives when the next flu comes along which is intended to kill 80-90% of them —by design.

By using prophylactics like zinc (to prevent virus replication in the cells) and Hydroxychloroquine or Ivermectin (to get the zinc into those cells), the immune system which was basically rendered useless by the vaccine can respond more slowly rather than kill the host by hyperactivity intentionally caused by the spike proteins in the jab.

And it's not just the tyrants of Australia, New Zealand, the U.S. and Britain who are unlawfully screwing their own nations and people by force-jabbing them.

## **EU REPORTS HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF SIDE EFFECTS**

In the European Union, we find more of the same. Its EudraVigilance system, to which suspected drug reactions are reported, had as of April 17, 2021, received 330,218 injury reports after vaccination with one of the four available COVID vaccines (Moderna, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Johnson & Johnson), including 7,766 deaths.

Of these, Pfizer's mRNA injection accounted for the largest number of deaths at 4,293, followed by Moderna with 2,094 deaths, AstraZeneca with 1,360 deaths and Johnson & Johnson with 19 deaths. The most commonly reported injuries were cardiac-related problems and blood/lymphatic disorders.

Now ask yourself why these admissions keep being 'disappeared' from media when they count for more deaths and adverse effects from these non-vaccines than all real vaccines in history – combined?

Why would any government or Big Tech tyrant want to prevent the truth? The question, I'm afraid, answers itself. They're

either part of it or paid off.

## **IF YOUR EMPLOYER (OR GOVERNMENT) TRIES TO 'OTHER' YOU FOR CONVENTIONAL BELIEFS HERE'S WHAT YOU CAN DO:**

If you are a confrontational person, go at them with the Nuremberg Code and file a suit against these public officials with Dr. Fuellmich's group, seeking charges for criminal conduct in court for their violations of international law, signed onto by all our Western-allied nations in 1946.

Second tier, confront them in letters, articles, public hearings and any venue you can find about their violative conduct. They are criminals under agreed-upon international law. Expose them as such.

Third tier, simply refuse their illegal mandates based on religious objections as the mRNA non-vaccine 'vaccine' contains parts of aborted babies – also a FACT.

Worse, Pfizer and Moderna require their dead babies to be 'fresh, not frozen' and if that doesn't put a chill on your heart while telling you who these Satanic companies and leaders are in truth, then nothing will.

Here's a great example of wording for your letter (since also taken down):

"Covid vaccines derived from protein testing using the abortion-derived cell line HEK-293. Partaking in a vaccine made from aborted fetuses makes me complicit in an action that offends my religious faith. As such, I cannot, in good conscience and in accord with my religious faith, take any such Covid vaccine at this time. Please provide a reasonable accommodation to my belief, as I wish to continue

to be a good employee (or citizen), helpful to the team (or country)."

## TAKE HEART – NUREMBERG II IS ALREADY IN THE WORKS



***But it's no longer just civil action—Dr. Fuellmich and team have applied for criminal charges in The Hague—and been approved, so Nuremberg II is a reality***

As covered in these pages repeatedly since November of 2020, a coalition of thousands of attorneys worldwide are filing lawsuits against governments, Big Pharma, and evil Global actors under the leadership of Dr. Reiner Fuellmich.

<https://richardsonpost.com/howellwoltz/22179/plandemic-plotters-facing-courts/>

On March 5th of this year, Dr. Fuellmich's forces successfully filed suit in the International Criminal Court's Hague Tribunal [against the Government of Israel](#) for its violations of the Nuremberg Code for "conducting illegal experiments on Israeli citizens through Pfizer."

<https://greatreject.org/nuremberg-israel-pfizer-genocide/>

Dr. Fuellmich draws comparisons to Nazi criminals who were convicted of similar medical experiments in the complaint, stating, "This is, in effect, a genetic medical experiment on humanity." But Dr. Fuellmich didn't stop with just the Israelis.



***"A team of over 1,000 lawyers and over 10,000 medical experts led by Dr. Reiner Fuellmich have begun legal proceedings against the CDC, who & the Davos group for crimes against humanity"***  
***([davidicke.com](http://davidicke.com))***

Criminal proceedings have also been launched by the Fuellmich team against the evil characters of the CDC, WHO and Davos Cult (say "Cheese" Bojo) which asserts, "The *experimental* vaccine is in violation of all 10 of the Nuremberg Codes which carry the death penalty for those who seek to violate these International Laws."

Yes. Death for these corrupt leaders, "health" bureaucrats and corporate executives who perpetrated this scandal on behalf of the Globalists.

This evil cult forcing inoculations of a puree made of aborted babies, deadly poisons such as graphene oxide – and none of the actual virus strain against which it is being said to protect – may be mankind's worst criminals ever.



I was once against capital punishment – but my view on that topic is rapidly changing.

Please watch Dr. “Zev” Zelenko’s interview for how to save your own life or that of a loved one from the vaccination’s intended long term kill.



### ***Stew Peters interview Dr Zev Zelenko***

<https://www.redvoicemedia.com/video/2021/08/dr-zev-zelenko-slays-globalists-exposes-global-genocidal-event/>

Howell Woltz (now on Telegram) The Richardson Post (now on [GETTR.com](http://GETTR.com)) [Howell@therichardsonpost.uk](mailto:Howell@therichardsonpost.uk)

**Howell Woltz**

<http://www.howellwoltz.com>

Howell Woltz is an American author living in Warsaw, Poland, whose work focuses on America's system of justice and the negative effects of Progressivism on free nations and their people.



**NUREMBERG 2™**  
PROMOTING INTERNATIONAL BIOETHICS & HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

Select Page



NUREMBERG 2™

***SITE UNDER  
CONSTRUCTION***

NUREMBERG 2™

**Nuremberg 2™**  
The World's No.1  
Promoter of Human  
Rights Law

# What is the Point in Human Rights Law?

What is the point in International Human Rights Laws if the vast majority of people do not actually know what they are, or just how much they protect and defend their individual sovereignty, lifestyle, livelihood, personal welfare, established cultural habits and normal way of life?

A life that is properly protected by those Human Rights is one that is free from any kind of authoritarian encroachment or infraction of those birthrights and liberties, which every human being should expect to fully enjoy within any truly civilised society.

What is the point in Human Rights if governments, politicians, big business and establishment institutions simply pick and choose when to promote and legally enforce them, and then when to totally ignore them and act in ways that blatantly breach even the most sacred and cherished of those Human Rights?

Of course, the answer is that no institution or authority must ever be permitted to do so, and that no politician should be allowed to hold their public position without visibly supporting that standpoint. The only real question

is how can the 8 billion individuals within human society best ensure, once and for all, that this is the case?

Do your democratic representatives support and fully adhere to International Human Rights? Your member of parliament? Your senator? Your congressman or congresswoman? Your President? Your city mayor? Those who make the decisions in your councils and government departments?

Surely, if they are not eager and willing to sign on the dotted line that they do, and that they always will, should they not immediately be removed from their political posts?

# The Nuremberg 2™ Mission

Nuremberg 2™ has two key purposes.

1. It seeks to educate each and every individual on the importance of knowing their human rights.
2. It seeks to require in legislation that every individual and institution in public service is fully versed in all the

most major International Bioethics and Human Rights Laws, and furthermore, that every political representative of the people (elected individual) and every political representative of the State and corporations (non-elected politicians), must legally pledge to uphold all major International Bioethics and Human Rights Laws, in order that they may retain their political position.

It is the moral duty of each individual in such a public service post to ensure that they never act outside of such laws. It is now time that it is also made to be their enforceable legal responsibility to do so.

# TAKE ACTION NOW!

Contact and challenge your political representatives and public service institutions.

Establish whether or not they support and adhere to International Bioethics and Human Rights Law.

Are they prepared to sign a declaration that publicly confirms their full adherence to International Bioethics and Human Rights Laws?

# The Nuremberg 2™ Name

Nuremberg 2™ is proud to evoke the name given to the very first International Human Rights Code – The Nuremberg Code 1947.

This groundbreaking Statute paved the way for the UN Declaration of Human Rights 1948, and all subsequent International Human Rights Laws, created to protect the individual against State, corporate and institutional tyranny.

Most people are unaware that International Human Rights Law was founded on the grave concern of what should be “permissible” in respect to “medical experiments”, and conversely, what must never be permitted to happen ever again. The Nuremberg Code 1947 was written for this purpose.

Due to The Nuremberg Code, it is fair to say that all Human Rights Law was founded on the single principle that the sovereign individual has total power of consent in regards to all medical experiments, without fear of any political or social discrimination of any kind.

The term “medical experiments” used in The Nuremberg Code was subsequently clarified under International Human Rights Law, as including all “medical or scientific research, experimentation, intervention and associated technologies” (UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights 2005).

Subsequent Human Rights Laws reinforced and expanded on this lawful and legal supremacy of the individual human being over the institution, establishing the organic individual as wholly sovereign, with no ‘non-individual’ (the State, society as a whole, an authority, corporation or institution) ranking higher under law. So long as the individual themselves remains ‘lawful’, the sovereignty of that individual remains legally superlative over any collective authority.

## Empowering “The Individual”

Empowering each and every Individual of the world is essential. Get to know your International Human Rights, particularly in relation to sovereign freedoms and liberty, and in respect to medical and scientific experimentation, intervention, research, and associated technologies.



Most people are not aware that the individual's rights and superiority over science and medicine are the subjects that have been covered the most over the past 70 years by International Human Rights Laws.

Even fewer people are aware that even the interests of 'society as a whole' (which are, of course, always subjective – subject to the opinion of one political group or another) are specifically stated to be immaterial in comparison to the individual's absolute freedom to deny consent to scientific and medical research, experimentation or intervention. Furthermore, an individual who chooses NOT to participate in such research, experimentation, intervention or associated technologies must remain totally free of any kind of social discrimination or unequal treatment whatsoever.

# Get To Know The Most Important Articles & Clauses

Important International Laws and Codes include:

The Nuremberg Code 1947

UN Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Principles for Those in Research and Experimentation,

WMA Committee on Medical Ethics 1954

UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

1966

UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights 2005

Nuremberg 2™ is dedicated to promoting International Bioethics & Human Rights Law, with direct quotes of the most important Clauses and Articles from International Laws that have been ratified by all major nations, making them globally enshrined in legislation, and thereby legally binding within all nations that are not considered to be 'rogue States'.

The blatant breaching of Human Rights Laws by any State is regarded as a 'Crime Against Humanity'. Those who oppose, try to suppress, or do not support the public's awareness of Human Rights Laws are no better than those who blatantly breach those laws.

It is the duty of all responsible and law abiding governments and institutions to help promote International Bioethics and Human Rights Laws. These laws are created in order to legally and morally protect the individual from all illicit State, corporate and institutional criminality and authoritarianism.

# International Human Rights Law Reinforces Society's Supreme Law: The Common Law

Those who understand the supreme importance of Common Law, and that it is wholly superlative to all 'legislation', should also understand that International Human Rights Law is legislation that is clearly founded on the principles of Common Law.

Once you begin to actually read the Articles and Clauses of International Bioethics and Human Rights Law, you realise that those who wrote it fully understood that 'the individual' ALWAYS supersedes the State; the society as a whole; the institution; the corporation; parliamentary legislation etc, so long as that individual themselves abides by the Common Law. That is to say that the Individual must respect, and does not take or overtly compromise, the body and possessions of any other individual.

Common Law protects the body and personal possessions of each and every individual, equally. The human condition

dictates that an infinite number of other concerns in life may affect the individual, but it is a fair to say, that if the individual's physical being and personal possessions are respected and protected by the social authority, above all other concerns, then this is the best foundation for any civilised society.

This is exactly what the Common Law does. It serves to protect each individual's physical being, their home, and their personal effects and basic assets from all others, without any discrimination or privilege. Indeed, to not be a supporter of Common Law is to be a supporter of tyranny. It really is that simple.

The most important thing in any legislation is that it fully adheres to basic Common Law principles. International Human Rights Laws does this. However, that is not to say that such International Human Rights Laws will continue to be so 'lawful' and thereby legitimate, or indeed, that certain anomalies may not already be found within its numerous Articles and Clauses. However, by and large, no reasonable person could challenge its overall compliance with those central Common Law principles.

Of course, The Nuremberg Code 1947 and The UN Declaration of Human Rights 1948 were fashioned in direct response to the nazi pharmaceutical and scientific industries' obsession with medical and scientific experimentation; and the political totalitarian ideologies and invasive mass mind control propaganda techniques of national socialism (nazism) and technocracy (Italian fascism) at that time.

These cookie-cutter adaptations of 'Statism' – along with international socialism (Soviet communism), firmly placed 'the State' as being superior to 'the Individual', and 'State legislation' as superlative to the 'Common Law'. The International Human Rights Law that responded to those crimes against society and against so many millions of individuals was designed to put the power firmly in the hands of the individual.

As the civil rights icon Martin Luther King Jnr. famously declared, "Nothing that Hitler did in Germany was 'illegal'."

What he was quite rightly stating is that just because the State makes something 'legal' by passing 'legislation', it does not necessarily make it 'lawful'.

The term 'lawful' refers to the Common Law (law of the land), whereas the term 'legal' refers to 'legislation' or 'statute' that is passed by parliamentary institutions. In short, that which is legal is not always lawful!

Question: if you had been alive in 1930s Germany before the Second World War, would you have blindly supported everything that the State passed into legislation, or would you have refused to obey legislation – such as the Nuremberg Laws of 1935 – which blatantly broke the Human Rights of the individual under Common Law terms, and which directly gave rise to the atrocities of the following ten years?

In other words, would you have been 'legal' but 'unlawful' by following the nazi rulebook, or would you have broken the legislation in order to remain a lawful human being?

For more on the superlative nature of Common Law; why there is currently a firestorm of excited discussion regarding Common Law currently going on all over the world; and why the establishment is desperately trying to ignore it, belittle it, and suppress it, take a look at [The Common Law page](#).

---

## **N U R E M B E R G 2 ™**

### **TELEGRAM CHANNELS**

[Join for latest info]

[Main Channel](#)

[https://t.me/Nuremberg\\_2](https://t.me/Nuremberg_2)

[The Pledge](#)

<https://t.me/Nuremberg2Pledge>

### **TELEGRAM GROUPS**

[Join chat for discussion]

[Main Group](#)

<https://t.me/joinchat/sDknml-OuX0yYTA0>

[The Pledge](#)

<https://t.me/joinchat/4f130DVmmzZIZDY0>

### **PINTEREST**

[Nuremberg 2™ On Pinterest](#)

<https://www.pinterest.com/nuremberg2/>

## **P R O D U C T S T O R E**

Help promote Nuremberg 2™ and our Human Rights by purchasing t-shirts, mugs, stickers and other products, featuring extracts and quotes on International Bioethics & Human Rights Law.

[\*\*Go To The Nuremberg 2™ Store\*\*](#)

## **F O L L O W**



**S H A R E**

**S U B S C R I B E**

Email

**Subscribe**

Share this page on...